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Orchard Assumptions

• San Joaquin Valley North, 2011
• 16’ x 22’ spacing, 124 trees per acre
• 40 contiguous acres
• Microsprinkler irrigation
• Custom harvest
• 25 year orchard life
Costs of Production Terminology

Cultural Costs
Harvest Costs
Cash Overhead
Noncash Overhead
Costs of Production

Cultural Costs
- Pruning
- Floor management
- Disease and pest control
- Irrigation and fertilization
- ATV and pickup use

Harvest Costs
Cash Overhead
Noncash Overhead
Costs of Production

Cultural Costs

Harvest Costs
- Shake, sweep, rake
- Pick up and haul
- Hull and shell nuts

Cash Overhead

Noncash Overhead
Costs of Production

Cultural Costs

Harvest Costs

Cash Overhead

• Office expenses
• Liability Insurance
• Sanitary Service
• Property Taxes and Insurance
• Repairs on Buildings and Irrigation System

Noncash Overhead
Costs of Production

Cultural Costs
Harvest Costs
Cash Overhead
Noncash Overhead (Capital Recovery)
  • Buildings, Shop, and Field Tools
  • Irrigation System
  • Fuel Tanks
  • Equipment ownership
  • Trees
  • Land
Equipment Costs

Cultural costs
  • Fuel and lube
  • Repairs

Cash overhead
  • Insurance
  • Taxes

Noncash Overhead (Capital recovery)
  • Principle and interest or ownership costs
Almonds
Total cost of production, $3,897 per acre

- Trees, $356 (9%)
- Land, $750 (19%)
- Equipment, $277 (7%)
- Cash overhead, $437 (11%)
- Harvest, $325 (9%)
- Cultural costs, $1,752 (45%)
Almonds
Total cost of production, $3,897 per acre

- Trees, $356
- Weeds, $165
- Prune, $183
- Insects & gophers; $325
- Disease; $150
- Irrigate & fertilize; $530
- Equipment, $277
- Cash overhead, $414
- Harvest, $325
- Pollination; $280
- Pick-up & ATV; $119
- Land; $750
- Cash overhead, $414
Almonds
Cultural costs $1,752 per acre

- Irrigate & fertilize: $530 (30%)
- Prune: $183 (10%)
- Insects & Gophers: $325 (19%)
- Pollination: $280 (16%)
- Weeds: $165 (9%)
- Disease: $150 (9%)
- Pick-up & ATV: $119 (7%)
Almonds
Cultural costs $1,752 per acre

- Custom (pruning & pollination), $471, 27%
- Labor, $382, 22%
- Materials $815, 46%
- Fuel, $87, 5%
Expected yield range: 1,400 – 2,600 lbs. per acre

Expected price range: $0.90 - $2.10
Yield per Acre by Region
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Almonds
Cost per pound at varying yields

- Total cost
- Cash cost
- Operating cost
Almonds
Cost per pound at varying yields

Cost per Pound

Range of Prices

Pounds per Acre

- Total cost
- Cash cost
- Operating cost
Almonds
Cost per pound at varying yields

Break even yield
2,000 pounds
at $1.90 per pound
External Cost Factors

Interest rates
Fertilizer
Fuel
Pesticides
Machinery costs
Tractors and trucks
Labor
Cost of living
Prime Interest Rate

Source: Federal Reserve Board
Indexes of Prices Paid by US Farmers
1990-1992 = 100

Source: National Agricultural Statistical Service, USDA. “Agricultural Prices”
http://usda.mannlib.cornell.edu/usda/current/AgriPric/AgriPric-10-29-2010.pdf
Indexes of Prices Paid by US Farmers
1990-1992 = 100

Source: National Agricultural Statistical Service, USDA
Indexes of Prices Paid by US Farmers
1990-1992 = 100

Source: NASS Agricultural Prices http://www.nass.usda.gov/publications
Indexes of Prices Paid by US Farmers
1990-1992 = 100

Source: NASS Agricultural Prices http://www.nass.usda.gov/publications
http://coststudies.ucdavis.edu
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The Fundamentals of Selling Almonds
Brian Ezell, Paramount Farms
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop Year</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bearing Acres (in 000’s)</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop Production (in millions)</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>1,117</td>
<td>1,383</td>
<td>1,614</td>
<td>1,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Yield/Bearing Acre</td>
<td>1,751</td>
<td>1,545</td>
<td>1,831</td>
<td>2,161</td>
<td>2,374</td>
<td>1,953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Grower Return ($/lb)</td>
<td>$2.21</td>
<td>$2.81</td>
<td>$2.06</td>
<td>$1.75</td>
<td>$1.45</td>
<td>$1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Value (in Billions)</td>
<td>$2.2B</td>
<td>$2.6B</td>
<td>$2.3B</td>
<td>$2.4B</td>
<td>$2.3B</td>
<td>$2.4B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ Return/Acre</td>
<td>$3,869</td>
<td>$4,342</td>
<td>$3,771</td>
<td>$3,782</td>
<td>$3,442</td>
<td>$3,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSJV Growing Cost/Acre</td>
<td>$1,970</td>
<td>$1,960</td>
<td>$2,230</td>
<td>$2,415</td>
<td>$2,620</td>
<td>$2,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Grower Return/Acre</td>
<td>$1,899</td>
<td>$2,382</td>
<td>$1,541</td>
<td>$1,367</td>
<td>$822</td>
<td>$475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Cost/Acre - Excludes debt service on land
## Economic impact of the past two year’s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop Year’s</th>
<th>’04 - ’07</th>
<th>’08 – ’09</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wtd. Avg Yield/Acre</td>
<td>1,827</td>
<td>2,157</td>
<td>+18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wtd. Avg Net Return/Acre</td>
<td>$1,797</td>
<td>$648</td>
<td>-64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Pre-Tax ROA (Return on Asset)</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>-6.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ROA = based on average market value of 1 acre of mature almonds = $17,000/acre

**Wtd Average Annual Loss in Net Return per Acre**

- for CY’08 & CY’09 vs, CY’04-CY’07:
  - $1,146/acre Lost

**Wtd Average Annual Revenue Lost in CY’08 & CY’09 vs. average performance during the prior 4 crop years:**

- Annually: $800 Million Lost

**Total Estimated Lost Revenue in CY’08 & CY’09:**

- $1.6 Billion
What caused the $1.6 billion loss?
150 million pound hang-over

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Otlk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crop Year</strong></td>
<td>2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2008 2010</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carry-in Supply</strong></td>
<td>149 138 112 134 231 414 321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New crop</strong></td>
<td>998 912 1,117 1,383 1,614 1,406 1,550</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Less: In-edorbles/loss:</strong></td>
<td>15 23 29 25 42 27 62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net new crop</strong></td>
<td>983 889 1,088 1,358 1,573 1,379 1,488</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Saleable supply</strong></td>
<td>1,132 1,026 1,200 1,492 1,803 1,793 1,809</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incr(Dec) vs. prior year</strong></td>
<td>-4%  -9  17%  18%  10%   6%  6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total shipments</strong></td>
<td>984 914 1,066 1,261 1,389 1,471 1,559</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incr(Dec) vs. prior year</strong></td>
<td>4%  -7%  17%  18%  10%   6%  6%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Carryout supply</strong></td>
<td>138 112 134 231 414 321 250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>As a % of supply</strong></td>
<td>13% 11% 11% 15% 23% 18% 14%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Over the Past two years, August & September Shipments have averaged 267 Million pounds!
Thus, minimum carryout levels based on current demand is 250 million pounds.
What Caused the Revenue Loss in ’08 & ’09?
A review of what happened during this period.

• Review Supply and Demand History Chart. Was it the record crop we saw in 2008 of 1.6 billion pounds? Not really, but it did play a factor. This record crop gave us a 300 million pound increase in total Saleable Supply. However, in 2007, we had a similar jump in total saleable supply from the 2006 year (almost 300 million) yet we managed to keep the price of standards above $1.90/lb on average. In 2006, we saw a 186 million pound increase in total saleable supply yet managed to keep an average price of standards above $2.35/lb.

• So What was the cause of falling prices? It was the Industry’s lack of willingness to carryout an extra 150 million pounds into CY’09 and the lack of discipline to market our crop based on the basic fundamentals of selling almonds. In short, we lost perspective on the value of almonds!

• Instead of resolving to carryout the excess supply produced by the 2008 bumper crop, the industry decided to try to sell and ship it all out during that crop year. How quickly we forgot that based on historical production, big crops have a tendency to be offset by following smaller crop or visa versa.

• In order to move this excess volume, the industry decided to use lower prices as a lever to increase sales. Bad mistake!

• Starting with an average price of Std 5’s at $1.70/lb (the lowest price since October 2003), sellers continued to try to increase demand with continued price decreases until prices bottomed in February 2009 at $1.00 (when growers finally drew a line in the sand in terms of minimum acceptable grower return).
What Caused the Revenue Loss in ’08 &’09?
A review of what happened during this period.

- The strategy failed miserably. During the heaviest shipment period of the year (Aug-Jan), a 6 month period, shipments actually declined by -0.05% vs. the same period in CY’07 despite the falling prices. During this time period in CY’07 when the average price of Std 5’s was stable at around $2.00/lb, demand grew by 14.3% vs. the same period in CY’06.

- Why didn’t lower pricing work? Simple, we lost buyer confidence. Buyer’s don’t like pricing risk. In fact they hate it. So lowering prices below reasonable levels (below $2.00/lb) has just the opposite affect of what you would think. Instead of increasing demand, it kills demand!

- The result was we lowered prices far below the cost of production for nothing as we ended up carrying out the 150 million excess pounds we so desired to move (carryout ended up 414 million pounds). Once prices bottomed out in February at $1.00/lb for Std 5’s, buyers saw no risk in buying almonds (only upside) and demand from Feb-July jumped 23% over the same period in CY’07. But the damage was already done and we ended up with only a 10.3% increase over the prior. This verses an average increase in demand the prior two crop years of 17.5%. During these crop years, the price of Std 5’s sold for an average of $2.35/lb (CY’06) and $1.90/lb (CY’07).

- The end result was an average grower return for all almonds of $1.45/lb and $800 million of lost value vs. the average return during the prior 4 crop years. And Tragically, the 150 million excess pounds we so wanted to move was still sitting in our inventory as of the start of the 2009 crop year.
What Caused the Revenue Loss in ’08 & ’09?
A review of what happened during this period.

• They say that those who do not remember history are bound to repeat it. Well despite the recent events of the 2008 marketing year, instead of learning from this tragic experience, California Sellers seemed determined to repeat the failed strategy once again.

• The failed “low price = big demand” strategy executed during the 2008 CY along with excess carryout inventory had a “Hang-over” affect on the 2009 crop year. Despite increasing demand in the back half of the 2008 CY (+23% growth), our industry failed to increase pricing from the $1.00/lb level for Std 5’s until the Objective estimate came out in late June of 2009. By the end of August 2009, 500 million pounds of Carryout & new crop had been sold during this period when price of Std 5’s averaged less than $1.10/lb (with other almonds selling at normal spreads). Why didn’t prices increase during this heavy demand period? Emotions overcame fundamentals as the speculative trade talk of a potential 1.7 billion pound of new crop production played against the fears of growers and sellers who were already distracted by the large carryover from CY’08. In the end, these fears were unfounded since the actual size of the 2009 crop was 1.4 billion pounds (300 million less than “threat” and 200 million less than the actual size of the 2008 crop).

• In September of 2009, it appeared the industry had learned from its mistakes of the past year and prices began to move up slowly from Sept – Nov when Std 5 prices reached $1.65/lb during a shipment pace of 12.1% vs. the prior year (Aug – Nov). By January, our shipment pace was up 21% over the prior year and prices had increased by another $0.70/lb to a level of $2.35/lb for Std 5’s.
What Caused the Revenue Loss in ’08 &’09?
A review of what happened during this period.

• But then a tragic event took place. Despite the 21% YTD growth in shipments and despite the impending El Nino conditions predicted for the 2010 Bloom, our Industry again began to drop prices, once again emotionally succumbing to speculative “trade” talk of now a possible 1.8 billion pounds of potential production to market the coming year along with a carryover of 300 million pounds. The result was a repeated monthly price drop from the $2.35/lb price for Std 5’s established in January and ending with a Std 5 price level of $1.40/lb by the time the Objective Estimate was released in July 2010. We now the 2010 crop is no where close to 1.8 billion and in fact, due to the lower than forecasted Nonpareil yields and the devastating impact of the continual rains in October and November of 2010, we are now looking at a crop of 1.55 billion pounds (best case) and more than likely, a net crop below 1.5 billion pounds due to the increased rejects from mold and internal damage.

• So what did the $0.95/lb drop in pricing achieve? A shipment pace reduction of -9.1% and a final shipment performance for the year of 5.9% above the 2008 CY levels. This was the lowest % increase in shipments seen since the supply constrained 2005 crop year. In addition, another $800 million of lost revenue vanished from the growers pockets vs. the average value/acre seen in the 2004-2007 crop years. Why? Again, the price drop lost buyer confidence, as they waited to see if we would return once again to $1.00/lb Std 5 pricing.

• The lesson hopefully learned. Buyers value price stability much more than they value lower prices, especially prices below the cost of production. Dropping prices below reasonable levels only kills demand and in the end results in significant un-necessary loss of income to the growers who work so hard and risk so much to grow this specialty crop.
What Caused the Revenue Loss in ’08 &’09?  
A review of what happened during this period.

• The reality is our Industry would have been far better off to plow this excess 150 million pounds of 2008 production into the soil from which it came. This 150 million pound represents only 5% of the combined total volume of the 2008 & 2009 crops. This is a very sad statement. The fact is based on our past efforts, California growers always make more money on smaller crops than they do bigger crops. Too bad since larger crops require so much more effort and costs to harvest and process.

• How easily we forget the past. Over a 48 month period… from February 2003 through January 2007, the Almond Industry and it’s buyers valued Std 5’s above the $2.00/lb level with the average price of Std 5’s during this period being $2.55/lb and the high level reaching $3.75/lb. Almonds are not electronic that should be lower in price each year. We have a finite supply in which to sell each year and we can’t make more.
Factors That Did Not Cause The Revenue Loss in ’08 &’09.

• Strength of the U.S. Dollar. During the 2008 & 2009 crop years, the U.S. dollar was weak in comparison to the 2004-2007 crop year time period and almonds were a bargain for EU Buyers. Other regions which buy a lot of almonds are pegged to the USD so no exchange advantage or disadvantage between the time periods studied applied to these markets.

• It was the economy….yeah the economy! Bad World economy during 2008 & 2009 CY’s caused the short demand…Yeah… people were just not willing to spend what little money they had on nuts! Really? Then explain why Pistachios, Pecans, Walnuts, and Macadamia’s saw record prices during these periods. In fact on many of these nuts, buyers fell over each other chasing prices to well over $4.00 for many of these nut products. And in most cases, these nuts sold at record volumes.

• Industry Fragmentation….that is it! our Industry is just too fragmented to provide a united front on pricing, with each seller trying its hardest to undercut the price they “heard” got done just the day before by “Packer A” down the road. Well this is no doubt a problem in our industry. But it does not explain the fact that we were no more fragmented during these crop years (2008 & 2009) than we were the prior 4 years and especially in comparison to the 2006 & 2007 crop years when the available to sell volumes were big yet the Industry maintained prices for Std 5’s at $2.00 and higher on average.
• On the next slide, just for a visual, I took 150 million pounds out of the 2008 crop volume and let the numbers re-calculate based on actual 2008 demand, actual 2009 supply and demand, and outlook supply and demand volume for 2010 & 2011.

• How differently would we have priced our almond crops the past two years and currently had this been the picture? What would pricing be today? Think about it!
What if the 2008 crop was 150 million pound less?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop Year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carry-in supply</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Crop</td>
<td>1,465</td>
<td>1,406</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>1,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less: In-edibles/loss:</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net new crop</td>
<td>1,423</td>
<td>1,379</td>
<td>1,488</td>
<td>1,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total saleable supply</td>
<td>1,653</td>
<td>1,643</td>
<td>1,659</td>
<td>1,810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incr(Dec) vs. prior year</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total shipments</td>
<td>1,389</td>
<td>1,471</td>
<td>1,559</td>
<td>1,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incr(Dec) vs. prior year</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carryout supply</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As a % of supply</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

= Carryout’s far below August/September Demand
How do we avoid the selling mistakes that occurred in CY’08 & CY’09?

Growers must draw the line on acceptable grower returns. There is never a reason to sell any brown-skin almond below the cost of production ($1.90/lb to the grower according to the latest UC Davis Study).

That equates to a minimum Std 5 price of $2.15/lb to break even and close to a $2.50/lb Std 5 price to insure the 10% minimal pre-tax return.

• The investment, on-going costs, and risks associated with growing almonds require at least a reasonable return, but the goal is to maximize return (10%+).
How do we avoid the selling mistakes that occurred in CY’08 & CY’09?

Growers & Sellers must place value in what we produce. Buyers do not expect our industry to sell below the cost of production, but they will gladly let us!

• Almonds are the most versatile tree nut in the world with over 80% of the supply coming from California

• No other tree nut is sold in as many applications as Almonds. Threat of substitution is minimal

• Competing Tree Nuts are at much higher prices than even the most expensive brown skin Almond being offered today (Std 5’s $2.15 & NPS 23/25 = $2.60)
  • 320 Cashews - $3.80/lb
  • Walnuts (Lt. H/P) - $4.20/lb +
  • Macadamias - $8.00/lb +
  • Hazelnuts (Turkey) - $2.70
  • Pecan Halves - $6.50/lb +
  • Pistachio Kernels - $6.50+
How do we avoid the selling mistakes that occurred in CY’08 & CY’09?

Growers need to stay informed with monthly in-depth market analysis and sellers need to provide this information to their growers. Knowledge is power!

- Monthly ABC Position Reports only show basic Industry short-term trends
- Avoid emotional selling. Make decisions on Market fundamentals of supply & demand.
- Listening & Believing the “What ifs” of speculative buyers shifts your hard-earned profits into their pockets with no improvement in demand. The past two year’s are proof of this fact.
How do we avoid the selling mistakes that occurred in CY’08 & CY’09?

Growers & Sellers need to market their crop over a full 12 month period.

Growers & Sellers need to stay comfortably sold and participate in the market throughout the year. Trying to time the market will inevitably put sellers in a position to need to sell when buyers don’t need to buy.

Growers & Sellers must equally share in the carryout requirements it takes to keep supply flowing in August and September.
1st Bullet Point

- Trying to market these volumes of crop in an 8 or 9 month period is not only unreasonable, it is unnecessary. Unlike Walnut, Pecan, or Macadamia kernels, raw almonds store incredibly well in controlled storage conditions (temps below 50 degrees F and humidity below 70%). Shelf-life and freshness studies done by the Almond Board over the past few years show that raw almonds show almost no change in freshness (FFA or PV value) when stored under the above conditions for over 2 years in bulk containers. It is much better to market this crop for a 12 or 13 month period with no risk in yield loss. The higher price you will get by doing this will more than pay for the cost of outside cold storage and freight.

- What is your hurry? Oh, you need cash to pay expenses? Well if you sell almonds at reasonable levels (Std’s at $2.15 or higher all year), you won’t need cash and the 10-15% more almonds you have to sell will be a savings account to cash in during late summer (with interest appreciation).

3rd Bullet Point

- As a point of encouragement supporting the need for everyone to carryout their share, in spite of the fact that our (PFI’s) volume share of the state crop is only 6%, we decided to carryout way more than our share in CY’08 and CY’09 (36% and 26% of our total supply respectfully) since we didn’t want to participate in selling our crop at the low price levels seen at the end of these two crop years. The result was we got an additional $0.32/lb more for every pound of crop we carried and shipped in the prior fall period than we would have gotten had we decided to “force-market” this volume in the last 4 months of these crop years. After factoring outside storage and freight costs of $0.06/lb, we netted an additional $0.26/lb of revenue on these carried volumes.
All sellers need to be willing to carryover crop

Sellers not willing to carryout their share of the crop or

Weak sellers lead to lower prices and lower grower returns

Sellers willing to carryout their fair share will be overburdened if forced to carryout the share of others

Over-burdened sellers Who have to carryout more than their share become weak sellers

Growers will make more money when all sellers carryout their fair share of the crop.
A crop that is as stable as almonds should be marketed and shipped over a 12 month period to maximize grower returns. Don’t overburden other processors with the carryout that is required and that you are responsible to carry as a member of the Industry. You will have no problem shipping this volume in August and September of the new crop year.
How do we avoid the selling mistakes that occurred in CY’08 & CY’09?

Growers & Sellers need to understand that there are slow sales periods in the market each year, usually:

• November 15th thru January 10th
• February 10th thru March 10th
• The 2 weeks leading up to the NASS Subjective and Objective Estimates
• Do not put yourself in a position where you “have” to sell during these periods
How do we avoid the selling mistakes that occurred in CY’08 & CY’09?

Growers and Sellers must avoid selling current crop based on what they expect next year’s crop to be.

Growers and Sellers must avoid dropping current crop prices during and after bloom.

Growers and Sellers must remember their actions affect the entire industry. The goal is to maximize grower returns for everybody.
Talking Notes for Slide 20 (prior slide).

1st Bullet Point

• If you worry about how big next year’s crop will be before we really know how big it actually is, you will always undervalue the crop you are trying to market currently. New crop NP is not available until late August and pollinators not until early October at the soonest. Reality is we won’t really know how big the next year’s crop until January of the following year. And as you can see what has happened since the 2008 crop year, the next record crop is still only a reality in the minds of traders who wish to take your hard earned money from your pocket and put it in theirs. Reality is we will someday set another record crop. But we will deal with that when the time comes, not before. Remember this year (2010). A crop predicted to be 1.65 billion is now looking much closer to 1.5 billion.

2nd Bullet Point

• 3 out of the past 4 crop years, sellers have dropped pricing by an average of $0.60/lb in the back half of the year (Feb-July). The exception was the back half of the 2008 crop year when prices of Std 5’s bottomed out at $1.00/lb and remained there until July. The facts are that by the end of February of each crop year, this industry is 85% sold to the final shipment figure and dropping prices trying to sell the additional 15% of the volume not only drags down the average grower price for the year, but creates a price drag on the 500 million pounds of new crop shipments (based on the average of the past 4 years) that is sold during the same time period (about half which is carryout and the other half new crop volume).

3rd Bullet Point

• Don’t use “your” higher than average yields in a given year to justify lowering your offer price to move product. That is nothing more than bad financial management. If you have high yields, that is your advantage and your opportunity to make more money. What is the point of having high yields if your net return is less than or equal to your returns at lower or average yields? This increased yield is your opportunity to increase equity, by a new boat or tractor, pay for a year of college education for your children or grandchildren, or pay down some debt. You will never get ahead with this practice!
Parting Reminder - Lowering price decreases demand!

Sellers Drop Prices

Lower Demand = Less Sales

Buyers Sit & Wait for lower Prices

Sellers See less Demand

Since CY 2005, every period of monthly declining prices has led to a decline in shipment demand during the same period. Every period of stable or increasing prices has resulted in flat or increasing monthly shipments vs. the prior year.
The Future is Bright! No need to fear!
California Almond Supply and Demand Forecast

Note: Recent Demand Growth Rates:
- 2006 CY = +16.6%
- 2007 CY = +18.3%
- 2008 CY = +10.1%
- 2009 CY = +5.9%
- 2010 CTD = +11.8%

Actual Demand growth from 1990 – 2008 = 6.0%
Actual Demand growth from 1999 - 2008 = 9.5%

Avg. Yield 2002-2009 = 1,935/lbs. Acre
Current Estimate - 2010 = 2,148/lbs. Acre
Avg. Yield 2011-2013 = 2,180/lbs. Acre
(average of 2007 – 2010)

Californian Gross Production
Demand @ 6.0% Growth
Demand @ 9.5% Growth

2011 – 2013 are estimates based on estimated acreage and good bloom conditions and average the yield/acre from 2007-2010. The 2010 figure of 1.550 billion is based on current PFI estimate. Unfavorable bloom conditions could have further negative impacts to the forecasted volume.
Parting Thought

Final Thought – If you don’t remember any of the fundamentals of selling almonds I have reviewed in this presentation or the actual figures and statistics I have presented, please remember to do the following.

When you get home, go to your nearest Party Store or Costume Shop and buy a set of red clown hair and a red clown nose. Put this on your desk or in your brief case or vehicle when you travel. When a buyer or trader tries to convince you to sell your crop below the cost of production ($1.90/lb) or better yet, below a reasonable return ($2.15+ for Std 5’s with other almond products at normal spreads), or tries to convince you that surely this coming bloom will result in a 1.8 or 1.9 billion pound crop, do what Homey the Clown would do (character from an old sit-com “In Living Color”). Put on the clown hair and nose, whack them over the head with a stuffed sock, and say…….

I Don’t think So……Homey Don’t Play That!
Remember Homey The Clown!

I Don’t Think So! Homey Don’t Play That!
Thank You
What Really Sets the Almond Market
And Other Musings From A Neurotic Exporter
Debbie McMillan, Derco Foods
The Obvious

Supply
- Big crop v. Small crop
- Subjective estimate v. NASS estimate
- Actual receipt

Demand
- Domestic
- Overseas
- Timing (front-loaded v. back-loaded)

Production Factors
- Expectations of bloom (which people will start talking about....just around now)
- Water situation for any given season
Domestic Factors

• The “Artificial Shortage” which results from:
  • Grower Psychology – expectation of a particular level of return (whether based on fact or fiction) which must be coupled with Grower Solidarity (much easier to attain in a rising market)
  • Grower’s “percentage sold”
  • Financial strength to be patient
  • Physical storage space
International factors

• Price of other nuts (primarily hazelnuts, walnuts, pecans, pistachios, cashews)
  • Sometimes act as an umbrella for almond prices
  • Other times impact purchase strategies and short-term volumes

• Currency
  • Unlikely to be a seasonal limiting factor, but more likely a stimulus to load-up on purchases or buy hand to mouth (seasonal impact on volume questionable)
The Not-So-Obvious

Economic condition in importing markets

Importer profit margins (a “base” & “inelegant” calculus…sometimes confused for health claims or other more “worthy” considerations)

Second-hand inventory in Europe

Re-selling hubs of Hong Kong and Dubai

Speculation gone bad

Defaults
Thank You
Wrap-Up, Discussion and Q&A
Turn in your **2010 Research Update** ticket at the ABC booth (#143) in the Exhibit Tent for the 2010 Research Update.
Gala Dinner Speaker

Bill Nye
The Science Guy

Wednesday at
7:00 pm

Please check with the registration desk for ticket availability.
Thank you Metal Sponsors

2010 AIC SPONSOR
PREMIER
BASF
The Chemical Company

2010 AIC SPONSOR
TITANIUM
Bayer CropScience

2010 AIC SPONSOR
SILVER
Bühler

2010 AIC SPONSOR
BRONZE
Jack Rabbit

2010 AIC SPONSOR
 COPPER
Creating the Future
 Satake

2010 AIC SPONSOR
 GREEN
JKB Energy